February 26, 2004

Ms. Raquel Rodriguez Dockets Unit California Energy Commission 1516 9<sup>th</sup> Street Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE:** The Roseville Energy Park (03-AFC-1)

Dear Ms. Rodriquez:

Enclosed for filing with the California Energy Commission are one original and 12 (Twelve) copies of **Applicant's Status Report #1 for the Roseville Energy Park** (03-AFC-1).

Sincerely,

Scott A. Galati on behalf of Roseville Electric

SAG/cp Enclosures

...Admin\Roseville\Dockets\Cover 2-26-04

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## **Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission**

In the Matter of:

Application for Certification for the ROSEVILLE ENERGY PARK by the City of Roseville

Docket No. 03-AFC-01

# ROSEVILLE ENERGY PARK STATUS REPORT #1

On February 2, 2004, the Siting Committee for the Roseville Energy Park (REP) Application for Certification (AFC) issued a Committee Scheduling Order which requested that all parties file status reports on February 26, March 25, and April 29, 2004 to assist the Committee in determining if satisfactory progress is being made on the case and to bring potential schedule delays or other relevant matters to the Committee's attention.

Roseville Electric (RE) has prepared this first Status Report to provide information on the status of the issues discussed in the CEC Staff's Issue Identification Report dated January 16, 2004 and at the January 28, 2004 Site Visit and Informational Hearing. RE has also included a table that summarizes all of the project documents that RE or its consultants have submitted to the CEC since the AFC was accepted on December 17, 2003.

### **Issues Identification Report**

The CEC Staff released its Issue Identification Report on January 16, 2004. This report identified two potential issues that Staff believes could require careful attention and consideration. These two issues, which relate to air quality and land use, are discussed below.

#### Air Quality

Staff indicated in the Issue Identification Report that availability of emission reduction credits (ERCs) may be an issue of concern. RE has made considerable progress in securing emissions offsets, recently executing an agreement to purchase enough ERCs to fulfill all of the REP's PM10

requirements and approximately 45 percent of its NOx requirements. Evidence of this transaction will be docketed under separate cover. Additionally, RE has updated its confidential filing, which identifies specific emission reduction targets with whom it is negotiating. These sources are sufficient to satisfy the balance of REP offset requirements and does not include agricultural burning credits.

RE has been working closely with the Placer County Air Pollution Control District (PCAPCD) and believes that the Preliminary Determination of Compliance review is proceeding as planned. RE does not anticipate a delay in the issuance of the PDOC by the date identified in the Scheduling Order.

#### Land Use

The Issues Identification Report also identified the relation of the gas pipeline to planned schools within the project area as a potential issue of concern. Specifically, a school district that proposes a school site is required to coordinate with the California Department of Education (CDE) concerning the potential risks to students and school facilities from hazardous materials. The CDE has established guidelines that require detailed risk analyses to be performed if a school district is planning a school within 1,500 feet of a high-pressure gas pipeline. Because the high-pressure gas pipeline will be owned by PG&E and not by the City of Roseville, the REP proposed several alternate pipeline routes in its AFC to ensure PG&E had sufficient flexibility in its pipeline route selection. Since filing the AFC, RE has elected to withdraw from consideration the pipeline routes that were within 1,500 feet of any planned school as identified in the West Roseville Specific Plan. With this withdrawal, neither the REP facility nor its gas pipeline route would trigger any additional risk analyses by the CDE for any school district proposing a school as identified in the West Roseville Specific Plan. RE is submitting a revised map of the pipeline routes under separate cover.

## **Summary of Documents Submitted to the CEC**

RE filed the Roseville Energy Park AFC with the Commission on October 30, 2003. The AFC was deemed data adequate on December 17, 2003. Since that time, RE has filed the following documents with the CEC:

Date Filed	То	Subject
2/6/04	CEC Docket Office	Applicant's Responses to CEC Staff Data Requests 1-71
2/19/04	CEC Docket Office	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (Response to Data Request 55)
2/24/04	CEC Docket Office	Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan for Operation (Response to Data Request 57)

## **Conclusion**

RE has been working cooperatively and diligently to respond to the various data requests and other requests for information that have been received from Staff. RE is committed to working with the CEC Staff to successfully meet each of the milestones that have been set forth in the Scheduling Hearing Order in support of the AFC review process.

Dated: February 26, 2004

Scott A. Galati

Counsel to Roseville Electric

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission

In the Matter of:	Docket No. 03-AFC-1
Application for Certification for the Roseville Energy Park	PROOF OF SERVICE
By The City of Roseville	

I, Carole Phelps, declare that on February 26, 2004, I deposited copies of the attached **Applicant's Status Report #1, for the Roseville Energy Park Project** with first class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the following:

#### **DOCKET UNIT**

I have sent the original signed document plus the required 12 copies to the address below:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION DOCKET UNIT, MS-4 ATTN: Docket No. 03-AFC-1 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

I have also sent individual copies to:

#### APPLICANT

Tom Habashi, Executive Director Roseville Electric 2090 Hilltop Circle Roseville, CA 95747

Robert Hren, Project Manager Roseville Electric 2090 Hilltop Circle Roseville, CA 95747

#### **CONSULTANTS FOR APPLICANT**

Doug Davy CH2M Hill 2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95833 Andrea Grenier Grenier & Associates, Inc. 1108 Kris Way Roseville, CA 95661

#### COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Galati & Blek LLP Scott A. Galati 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95814

#### **INTERVENORS**

**CURE** 

c/o Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo Attn: Mark D. Joseph & Tanya Gulesserian 651 Gateway Blvd., Suite 900 S. San Francisco, CA 94080

#### INTERESTED AGENCIES

Patty Dunn Assistant City Manager 311 Vernon Street City of Roseville Roseville, CA 95678

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correc	t.
Carole Phelps	